

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: PEERS: WantToKnow.info Email List <emallist@peerservice.org>

Sent: Friday, September 5, 2014 8:08 AM

Subject: 9/11: 20 Major Media Articles Prove the 9/11 Official Story is Full of Holes

To join the 13,240 informed and caring subscribers to this list (two emails/week)

[Subscribe here](#)

The Mission of [WantToKnow.info](#):

*To provide our readers with reliable, verifiable information on major cover-ups,
and to serve as a call to work together for the good of all*

Please Spread the Word!

This message is available online at http://www.WantToKnow.info/911/9-11_news_summary

Kindly support this work. We're **\$8,100 in the red** for 2014.

[Click here](#) to make a donation.

Support us by using [this amazon.com link](#) at no extra charge to you.

Join in the engaging conversations on our Readers Forum at [this link](#)

[Click here](#) to learn about volunteering for this great cause



"It's almost a culture of concealment, for lack of a better word. There were interviews made at the FAA's New York center the night of 9/11 and those [tapes were destroyed](#). The CIA tapes of the interrogations were destroyed. The story of 9/11 itself, to put it mildly, was distorted and was completely different from the way things happened."

~~ [Time magazine article](#) quoting John Farmer, Senior Counsel to the 9/11 Commission

9-11 Summary

Dear friends,

Did you know that hundreds of respected [government officials](#), [professors](#), and [9/11 survivors and family members](#) have stated there was a major cover-up around 9/11? Did you know that [over 2,000 architects and engineers](#) have signed a petition calling for a new investigation into the collapse of the buildings on 9/11? And did you know about the media articles? **The below excerpts from eye-opening news articles published on highly respected major media websites raise serious questions about what those in key positions in the U.S. government really knew about 9/11 and who was behind the attacks.**

Though this information may be disturbing to read, consider that the more well informed we are, the more effectively we can work together to build a brighter future. By reviewing these articles, verifying them using the links provided, and then spreading the word, you can make a significant difference in helping to make our world a better place to live for all of us. And don't miss the "What you can do" box at the end which provides excellent links to further educate yourself and to spread the word. Thanks for caring, and have a good day.

With best wishes for a transformed world,
[Fred Burks](#) for [PEERS](#) and [WantToKnow.info](#)

[9/11] Hijack 'suspects' alive and well 2001-09-23, BBC News

http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/middle_east/1559151.stm

Another of the men named by the FBI as a hijacker in the [9/11] suicide attacks on Washington and New York has turned up alive and well. The identities of four of the 19 suspects accused of having carried out the attacks are now in doubt. Saudi Arabian pilot Waleed Al Shehri was one of five men that the FBI said had deliberately crashed American Airlines flight 11 into the World Trade Centre on 11 September. His photograph was released, and has since appeared in newspapers and on television around the world. He told journalists there that he had nothing to do with the attacks. He has contacted both the Saudi and American authorities. **He acknowledges that he attended flight training school at Daytona Beach in the United States, and is indeed the same Waleed Al Shehri to whom the FBI has been referring. But, he says, he left the United States in September last year** [and] became a pilot with Saudi Arabian airlines. Abdulaziz Al Omari, another of the Flight 11 hijack suspects ... says he is an engineer with Saudi Telecoms, and that he lost his passport while studying in Denver. Meanwhile ... a London-based Arabic daily says it has interviewed Saeed Alghamdi. He was listed by the FBI as a hijacker in the United flight that crashed in Pennsylvania. And there are suggestions that another suspect, Khalid Al Midhar, may also be alive. FBI Director Robert Mueller acknowledged on Thursday that the identity of several of the suicide hijackers is in doubt.

Note: The deceptions in the official story of 9/11 are nowhere more clearly shown than in this important story. The FBI never revised its list of alleged hijackers, and **these four are all later listed in the official 9/11 Commission report as the hijackers.** [Click here](#) and scroll down a little over half way to see their photos in the official report. For more on this, [click here](#). For an abundance of reliable information suggesting a major 9/11 cover-up, [click here](#).

Alleged [9/11] Hijackers May Have Trained At U.S. Bases 2001-09-14, Newsweek Magazine

<http://www.newsweek.com/2001/09/14/alleged-hijackers-may-have-trained-at-u-s-...>

U.S. military sources have given the FBI information that suggests five of the alleged hijackers of the planes that were used in [the 9/11] terror attacks received training at secure U.S. military installations in the 1990s. **Three of the alleged hijackers listed their address on drivers licenses and car registrations as the Naval Air Station in Pensacola, Fla. - known as the "Cradle of U.S. Navy Aviation," according to a high-ranking U.S. Navy source. Another of the alleged hijackers may have been trained in strategy and tactics at the Air War College in Montgomery, Ala.,** said another high-ranking Pentagon official. The fifth man may have received language instruction at Lackland Air Force Base in San Antonio, Tex. Both were former Saudi Air Force pilots who had come to the United States, according to the Pentagon source. The five men were on a list of 19 people identified as hijackers by the FBI on [September 14]. The three foreign nationals training in Pensacola appear to be Saeed Alghamdi and Ahmad Alnami, who were among the four men who allegedly commandeered United Airlines Flight 93. That flight [ended in] rural Pennsylvania. The third man who may have trained in Pensacola, Ahmed Alghamdi, allegedly helped highjack United Airlines Flight 75, which hit the south tower of the World Trade Center. Military records show that the three used as their address 10 Radford Boulevard, a base roadway on which residences for foreign-military flight trainees are located.

Note: For more on this vitally important news, see the *Washington Post* news article [available here](#) and the *Los Angeles Times* news article [here](#). So what's really going on here? For our reliable 9/11 Information Center, [click here](#).

A New Look at the 9-11 Commission 2009-09-11, Time magazine

<http://www.time.com/time/nation/article/0,8599,1921659,00.html>

Former New Jersey attorney general John Farmer served as senior counsel to the 9/11 Commission, tasked with investigating the government response to the attacks. His new book, [The Ground Truth](#), picks up where the commission left off – taking a deeper look at the government's ... response to the attacks and exposing officials determined to hide their failings from the inquiry. Farmer uses newly released transcripts and recordings to cast doubt on the official version of events. He spoke with TIME. [Time:] Why do you think officials tried to obscure [the truth about 9/11]? [Farmer:] It's almost a culture of concealment. You have someone like Sandy Berger ... taking rather extreme measures to remove documents from the National Archives and hide them at a construction site where he could retrieve them later and destroy them. **There were interviews made at the FAA's New York center the night of 9/11 and those tapes were destroyed. The CIA tapes of the interrogations were destroyed. The story of 9/11 itself, to put it mildly, was distorted and was completely different from the way things happened.** If what the government is telling you isn't true, then the truth could be anything. I think there is evidence that the truth wasn't told and that at least some of that was deliberate.

Note: Many respected scholars, officials and professionals have questioned the 9/11 Commission's report. [Click here](#) and [here](#) to read some of their statements. For lots more reliable, verifiable information from the major media questioning the 9/11 Commission's report, [click here](#) and [here](#).

The Disbelievers

2006-09-08, Washington Post

<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/09/07/AR20060907016...>

A recent [Scripps Howard/Ohio University](#) poll of 1,010 Americans found that 36 percent suspect the U.S. government promoted the attacks or intentionally sat on its hands. Sixteen percent believe explosives brought down the towers. A [Zogby International poll](#) of New York City residents two years ago found 49.3 percent believed the government "consciously failed to act." The loose agglomeration known as the "9/11 Truth Movement" has stopped looking for truth from the government. **The academic wing is led by [Prof. David Ray] Griffin, who founded the Center for a Postmodern World at Claremont University; James Fetzer, a tenured philosopher at the University of Minnesota; and Daniel Orr, the retired chairman of the economics department at the University of Illinois.** The movement's de facto minister of engineering is [Steven Jones](#), a tenured physics professor at Brigham Young University, who's ... concluded that the collapse of the twin towers is best explained as controlled demolition. [Catherine Austin Fitts](#) served as assistant secretary of housing in the first President Bush's administration. [\[Robert\] Bowman](#) was chief of advanced space programs under presidents Ford and Carter. Fitts and Bowman agree that the "most unbelievable conspiracy" theory is the one retailed by the government. It was a year before [David Ray Griffin](#), an eminent liberal theologian and philosopher, began his stroll down the path of disbelief. He wondered why ... military jets failed to intercept even one airliner. He read the 9/11 Commission report with a swell of anger. Contradictions were ignored and no military or civilian official was reprimanded. Griffin's book, "[The New Pearl Harbor](#)" ... never reviewed in a major U.S. newspaper, sold more than 100,000 copies and became a movement founding stone.

Note: If the above link fails, [click here](#).

9/11 Commission Heads: We Still Don't Know the Whole Truth of 9/11

2006-08-09, CNN News

<http://transcripts.cnn.com/TRANSCRIPTS/0608/09/ldt.01.html>

A shocking [new book](#) by the 9/11 Commission co-chairmen Thomas Kean and Lee Hamilton says **Americans still don't know the whole truth about their government's initial response to those terrorist attacks that day. [The book] outlines repeated misstatements by the Pentagon and Federal Aviation Administration.** Fog of war ... could not explain why all of the after-action reports, accident investigations, and public testimony by FAA and NORAD officials advanced an account of 9/11 that was untrue. Untrue -- the military's original timeline of United Flight 93. Equally untrue, the government's timeline for American Flight 77 and details about fighter jets scrambled to intercept it. DOD did not accurately report to the 9/11 Commission on the response to the September 11, 2001 hijackings. So far, government investigators stopped short of calling all of these inaccuracies lies. If all of the after-action reports are untrue, for whatever reason, that's a lie. Incompetence and ineptitude on the part of this government ... in the weeks leading up to 9/11 are established. The fact that the government would permit deception ... the fact that they would continue and perpetuate the

lie suggests that we need a full investigation of what is going on and what is demonstrably an incompetent and at worst deceitful federal government.

Sept. 11 panel considered Pentagon probe

2006-08-05, MSNBC/Associated Press

<http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/14191255/>

The Sept. 11 commission was so frustrated with repeated misstatements by the Pentagon and FAA about their response to the 2001 terror attacks that it considered an investigation into possible deception, the panel's chairmen say in a new book. Republican Thomas Kean and Democrat Lee Hamilton also say in "Without Precedent" that their panel was too soft in questioning former New York Mayor Rudolph Giuliani, and that the 20-month investigation may have suffered for it. The book ... recounts obstacles the authors say were thrown up by the Bush administration, internal disputes over President Bush's use of the attacks as a reason for invading Iraq, and the way the final report avoided questioning whether U.S. policy in the Middle East may have contributed to the attacks. "Fog of war ... could not explain why all of the after-action reports, accident investigations and public testimony by FAA and NORAD officials advanced an account of 9/11 that was untrue," the book states. The questioning of Giuliani was considered by Kean and Hamilton "a low point" in the commission's examination of witnesses during public hearings. "We did not ask tough questions, nor did we get all of the information we needed to put on the public record." In their book, which goes on sale Aug. 15, Kean and Hamilton recap obstacles they say the panel faced in putting out a credible report in a presidential election year, including fights for access to government documents and an effort to reach unanimity.

FAA Received Alert About 9/11 Hijacker

2006-01-07, ABC News/Associated Press

<http://abcnews.go.com/US/story?id=91659&page=2>

Federal aviation authorities were alerted in early 2001 that an Arizona flight school believed one of the eventual Sept. 11 hijackers lacked the English and flying skills necessary for the commercial pilot's license he already held. A Federal Aviation Administration inspector even sat next to the hijacker, Hani Hanjour, in one of the Arizona classes, checked records to ensure Hanjour's 1999 pilot's license was legitimate but concluded no other action was warranted. Hanjour is believed to have piloted the plane that crashed into the Pentagon on Sept. 11. **The operations manager for the now-defunct JetTech flight school in Phoenix said she called the FAA inspector that oversaw her school three times in January and February 2001 to express her concerns about Hanjour. "I couldn't believe he had a commercial license of any kind with the skills that he had," said Peggy Chevette, the JetTech manager.** She also has been interviewed by the FBI. Marilyn Ladner, a vice president for the Pan Am International Flight Academy that owned JetTech before it closed in the aftermath of Sept. 11, said the flight school expressed its concerns and believes the FAA official observed Hanjour's weaknesses firsthand. The Arizona school's alert is the latest revelation about the extent of information the government possessed before Sept. 11

Note: This article fails to mention the key fact the **Hanjour is officially listed as the hijacker pilot who executed an extremely sophisticated 330-degree diving turn to crash the plane into the Pentagon** (see official report at [this link](#)). Yet this article claims his flight instructor said his skills were so poor she couldn't believe he had any pilot's license. How can that be? For more information suggesting Hanjour was assisted by U.S. authorities in obtaining his license, [click here](#). And why has the Pentagon only released a few of the many dozens of security camera videos they have of the 9/11 Pentagon crash? And these few show nothing conclusive. For lots more reliable information questioning what really happened on 9/11, [click here](#).

Is Al Qaeda Just a Bush Boogeyman?

2005-01-11, Los Angeles Times

<http://articles.latimes.com/2005/jan/11/opinion/oe-scheer11>

Is it conceivable that Al Qaeda, as defined by President Bush as the center of a vast and well-organized international

terrorist conspiracy, does not exist? To even raise the question amid all the officially inspired hysteria is heretical. Yet a brilliant new BBC film produced by one of Britain's leading documentary filmmakers systematically challenges this. "The Power of Nightmares: The Rise of the Politics of Fear" ... argues coherently that much of what we have been told about the threat of international terrorism "is a fantasy that has been exaggerated and distorted by politicians. It is a dark illusion that has spread unquestioned ... around the world." Why have we heard so much frightening talk about "dirty bombs" when experts say it is panic rather than radioactivity that would kill people? Why did Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld claim on "Meet the Press" in 2001 that Al Qaeda controlled massive high-tech cave complexes in Afghanistan, when British and U.S. military forces later found no such thing? **The film ... directly challenges the conventional wisdom by making a powerful case that the Bush administration, led by a tight-knit cabal of Machiavellian neoconservatives, has seized upon the false image of a unified international terrorist threat to replace the expired Soviet empire in order to push a political agenda.** "The nightmare vision of a uniquely powerful hidden organization waiting to strike our societies is an illusion. Wherever one looks for this Al Qaeda organization, from the mountains of Afghanistan to the 'sleeper cells' in America, the British and Americans are chasing a phantom enemy."

Note: If above link fails, [click here](#). This highly revealing film by one of Britain's most respected documentary makers is available for free viewing on the Internet. For the link and lots more on this amazingly revealing documentary, [click here](#). For an excellent review of the film in one of the U.K.'s leading newspapers, [click here](#).

The 9/11 Secret in the CIA's Back Pocket

2004-10-19, *Los Angeles Times*

<http://articles.latimes.com/2004/oct/19/opinion/oe-scheer19>

It is shocking: The Bush administration is suppressing a CIA report on 9/11 until after the election, and this one names names. "It is infuriating that a report which shows that high-level people were not doing their jobs in a satisfactory manner before 9/11 is being suppressed," an intelligence official who has read the report told me. [The] release of the report, which represents an exhaustive 17-month investigation by an 11-member team within the agency, has been "stalled." First by acting CIA Director John McLaughlin and now by Porter J. Goss, the former Republican House member (and chairman of the Intelligence Committee) who recently was appointed CIA chief. The official stressed that the report was more blunt and more specific than the earlier bipartisan reports produced by the Bush-appointed Sept. 11 commission and Congress. **"The report found very senior-level officials responsible."** By law, the only legitimate reason the CIA director has for holding back such a report is national security. None of this should surprise us given the Bush administration's great determination since 9/11 to resist any serious investigation. The president fought against the creation of the Sept. 11 commission, for example, agreeing only after enormous political pressure was applied by a grass-roots movement led by the families of those slain. And then **Bush refused to testify to the commission under oath.** Instead he deigned only to chat with the commission members, with Vice President Dick Cheney present, in a White House meeting in which commission members were not allowed to take notes.

Note: If the above link fails, [click here](#). For other reliable information on the 9/11 cover-up, [click here](#).

Connections And Then Some

2003-03-14, *Washington Post*

<http://www.washingtonpost.com/ac2/wp-dyn?pagename=article&node=&contentId=A25...>

The Carlyle Group [is] an investment house famous as one of the most well-connected companies anywhere. Former president George H.W. Bush is a Carlyle adviser. Former British prime minister John Major heads its European arm. Former secretary of state James Baker is senior counselor, former White House budget chief Richard Darman is a partner, former SEC chairman Arthur Levitt is senior adviser -- the list goes on. Those associations have brought Carlyle enormous success. The Washington-based merchant bank controls nearly \$14 billion in investments, making it the largest private equity manager in the world. It buys and sells whole companies the way some firms trade shares of stock. But the connections also have cost Carlyle. It has developed a reputation as the CIA of the business world -- omnipresent, powerful, a little sinister. Media outlets from the Village Voice to [BusinessWeek](#) have depicted Carlyle as manipulating the levers of government from shadowy back rooms. Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney (D-Ga.) even suggested that Carlyle's and Bush's ties to the Middle East made them somehow complicitous in the Sept. 11 terror attacks. **It didn't help**

that as the World Trade Center burned on Sept. 11, 2001, the news interrupted a Carlyle business conference at the Ritz-Carlton Hotel here attended by a brother of Osama bin Laden. Former president Bush, a fellow investor, had been with him at the conference the previous day. Bush[']s primary function is to give speeches for Carlyle that attract wealthy foreigners in places where the former president is especially revered, such as Asia. The company has rewarded its faithful with a 36 percent average annual rate of return.

Note: If the above link fails, [click here](#). To understand the amazingly powerful role of this low-profile, yet extremely wealthy and influential group, [click here](#) to view free a 48-minute documentary shown on Dutch national TV which clearly depicts the depths of corruption and deceit at the highest levels of government. You will be thankful that you watched this highly educational film.

Defence redefined means securing cheap energy

2002-12-26, *Sydney Morning Herald* (One of Australia's leading newspapers)

<http://www.smh.com.au/articles/2002/12/25/1040511092926.html>

As troops and equipment pour into the Gulf for a looming war with Iraq, United States military thinkers admit that "defence" means protecting ... cheap oil. As far back as 1975, Henry Kissinger, then secretary of state, said America was prepared to wage war over oil. Separate plans advocating US conquest of Saudi oilfields were published in the '70s. So it should come as little surprise that ... **four months before the terrorist attacks on Washington and New York - a battle plan for Afghanistan was already being reviewed by the US Command that would carry it out after September 11.** Military strategists were highlighting the energy wealth of the Caspian Sea and Central Asia and its importance to America's "security". The Indian media and Jane's Intelligence Review reported that the US was fighting covert battles against the Taliban, months before the "war on terrorism" was declared. Over several months beginning in April last year a series of military and governmental policy documents was released that sought to legitimise the use of US military force in the pursuit of oil and gas. A spring 2001 article by Jeffrey Record in the War College's journal, Parameters, argued the legitimacy of "shooting in the Persian Gulf on behalf of lower gas prices". Mr Record [is] a former staff member of the Senate armed services committee (and an apparent favourite of the Council on Foreign Relations). **[He] advocated the acceptability of presidential subterfuge in the promotion of a conflict. Mr Record explicitly urged painting over the US's actual reasons for warfare with a nobly high-minded veneer,** seeing such as a necessity for mobilising public support for a conflict.

Note: This highly revealing report on the military planning of wars for oil is well worth reading in its entirety, at the link above. For lots more on major deception and manipulation around the event of 9/11, [click here](#).

Pipeline politics taint U.S. war

2002-03-18, *Chicago Tribune*

http://articles.chicagotribune.com/2002-03-18/news/0203180046_1_caspian-talib...

Outside this country, there is a widespread belief that U.S. military deployments in Central Asia mostly are about oil. [An article](#) in the Guardian of London headlined, "A pro-western regime in Kabul should give the U.S. an Afghan route for Caspian oil," foreshadowed the kind of skeptical coverage the U.S. war now receives in many countries. Author George Monbiot ... wrote that the U.S. oil company Unocal Corp. had been negotiating with the Taliban since 1995 to build "oil and gas pipelines from Turkmenistan, through Afghanistan and into Pakistani ports on the Arabian sea." Unocal pulled out of the deal after the 1998 terrorist attacks on U.S. embassies in Kenya and Tanzania were linked to terrorists based in Afghanistan. The terrorist acts of Sept. 11, though tragic, provided the Bush administration a [pretext] to invade Afghanistan, oust the recalcitrant Taliban and, coincidentally, smooth the way for the pipeline. To make things even smoother, **the U.S. engineered the rise to power of two former Unocal employees: Hamid Karzai, the new interim president of Afghanistan, and Zalmay Khalizad, the Bush administration's Afghanistan envoy.** [Uri] Averyn, a former member of the Israeli Knesset ... argues that the war on terrorism provides a perfect pretext for America's imperial interests. **"If one looks at the map of the big American bases created for the war, one is struck by the fact that they are completely identical to the route of the projected oil pipeline to the Indian Ocean."** No wonder the rest of the world is a bit skeptical about our war on evildoers.

Note: Why do so few people know that these two top officials of Afghanistan were once paid by an American oil company? For important reports from major media sources on the realities of the "war on terror," [click here](#).

Experts Urging Broader Inquiry In Towers' Fall

2001-12-25, *New York Times*

<http://select.nytimes.com/gst/abstract.html?res=F40A11FB3E550C768EDDAB0994D94...>

Saying that the current investigation into how and why the twin towers fell on Sept. 11 is inadequate, some of the nation's leading structural engineers and fire-safety experts are calling for a new, independent and better-financed inquiry that could produce the kinds of conclusions vital for skyscrapers and future buildings nationwide. **Experts critical of the current effort ... point out that the current team of 20 or so investigators has no subpoena power and little staff support and has even been unable to obtain basic information like detailed blueprints of the buildings that collapsed.** Some structural engineers have said that one serious mistake has already been made ... the decision to rapidly recycle the steel columns, beams and trusses that held up the buildings. Interviews with a handful of members of the team, which includes some of the nation's most respected engineers, also uncovered complaints that they had at various times been shackled with bureaucratic restrictions that prevented them from interviewing witnesses, examining the disaster site and requesting crucial information like recorded distress calls to the police and fire departments. **Members have been threatened with dismissal for speaking to the press.**

Note: Our website has over 30 full articles posted from the *New York Times*. This is the only article for which **the Times threatened to sue us if we didn't remove it.** We were allowed to replace it with this short summary. For more on this, [click here](#). For a two-page summary of many more major media news articles suggesting a major cover-up of 9/11, [click here](#).

Engineers Suspect Diesel Fuel in Collapse of 7 World Trade Center

2001-11-29, *New York Times*

<http://www.nytimes.com/2001/11/29/nyregion/29TOWE.html?pagewanted=all>

Almost lost in the chaos of the collapse of the World Trade Center is a mystery that under normal circumstances would probably have captured the attention of the city and the world. That mystery is the collapse of a nearby 47-story, two-million-square-foot building. Engineers and other experts ... were for weeks still stunned by what had happened to 7 World Trade Center. [They] struggle to explain the collapse. That building had housed, among other things, the mayor's emergency command bunker. It tumbled to its knees shortly after 5:20 on the ugly evening of Sept. 11. Experts said no building like it, a modern, steel-reinforced high-rise, had ever collapsed because of an uncontrolled fire, and engineers have been trying to figure out exactly what happened and whether they should be worried about other buildings like it around the country. "Even though Building 7 didn't get much attention in the media immediately, within the structural engineering community, it's considered to be much more important to understand," said William F. Baker, a partner in charge of structural engineering at the architectural firm Skidmore, Owings & Merrill. **"They say, 'We know what happened at 1 and 2, but why did 7 come down?'"** Jonathan Barnett, a professor of fire protection engineering at the Worcester Polytechnic Institute, said a definitive answer to the question of what happened in 7 World Trade Center is perhaps the most important question facing investigators. "It's just like when you investigate a plane crash. If we find a weakness in the building or a deficiency in the building that causes that collapse, we then want to find that weakness in other buildings and fix it."

Note: Though this articles blames the collapse on a diesel fuel fire, [over 2,000 architects and engineers](#) say this is impossible. A *New York Times* [article](#) states that some of the I-beams at WTC 7, "once five-eighths of an inch thick, had vaporized." For powerful evidence presented by experts that World Trade Center 7 was brought down by explosives, [click here](#). And don't miss the [PBS special](#), "9/11 Explosive Evidence: Experts Speak Out", in which 40 whistle-blowing architects and engineers present astounding evidence of controlled demolition at World Trade Center 7.

'We've Hit The Targets'

2001-09-13, Newsweek

<http://www.newsweek.com/2001/09/12/we-ve-hit-the-targets.html>

Could the [9/11] bombers have been stopped? NEWSWEEK has learned that while U.S. intelligence received no specific warning, the state of alert had been high during the past two weeks, and **a particularly urgent warning may have been received the night before the [9/11] attacks, causing some top Pentagon brass to cancel a trip.** Why that same information was not available to the 266 people who died aboard the four hijacked commercial aircraft may become a hot topic on the Hill.

Note: This most astounding information is buried in this long article. Yet it is repeated in a [Newsweek article](#) 11 days later (9/24/2001), which states, "On Sept. 10, NEWSWEEK has learned, a group of top Pentagon officials suddenly canceled travel plans for the next morning, apparently because of security concerns." It was again buried in a longer article, yet the author felt it important enough to include. Why was there no follow up? WantToKnow.info's Fred Burks has a reliable deep cover CIA contact who says he was informed just hours before the attacks that there was going to be a major attack on Washington on 9/11. For an abundance of reliable verifiable information suggesting a major cover-up around 9/11, [click here](#) and [here](#).

How Covert Agents Infiltrate the Internet to Manipulate, Deceive, and Destroy Reputations

2014-02-24, The Intercept

<https://firstlook.org/theintercept/2014/02/24/jtrig-manipulation/>

Western intelligence agencies are attempting to manipulate and control online discourse with extreme tactics of deception and reputation-destruction. Today, [The Intercept is] publishing [a document from GCHQ's previously secret unit, JTRIG, the Joint Threat Research Intelligence Group], entitled "The Art of Deception: Training for Online Covert Operations." Among the core self-identified purposes of JTRIG are two tactics: (1) to inject all sorts of false material onto the internet in order to destroy the reputation of its targets; and (2) to use social sciences and other techniques to manipulate online discourse and activism to generate outcomes it considers desirable. To see how extremist these programs are, just consider the tactics they boast of using to achieve those ends: "false flag operations" (posting material to the internet and falsely attributing it to someone else), fake victim blog posts (pretending to be a victim of the individual whose reputation they want to destroy), and posting "negative information" on various forums. **Government plans to monitor and influence internet communications, and covertly infiltrate online communities in order to sow dissension and disseminate false information, have long been the source of speculation.** Harvard Law Professor Cass Sunstein, a close Obama adviser and the White House's former head of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, wrote a controversial paper in 2008 proposing that the US government employ teams of covert agents and pseudo-"independent" advocates to "cognitively infiltrate" online groups and websites, as well as other activist groups. **Sunstein also proposed sending covert agents into "chat rooms, online social networks, or even real-space groups" which spread what he views as false and damaging "conspiracy theories" about the government.**

Note: To see a guidebook developed by intelligence agencies full of charts and information on how to infiltrate and deceive the public, [click here](#). The Intercept is the new media source being funded by Pierre Omidyar and featuring Glenn Greenwald and other top reporters known for their independence. Note that Greenwald fails to mention that Sunstein's almost exclusive focus was on "conspiracy theories" advocated by the 9/11 truth movement. For more on his call for what amounts to a new COINTELPRO, see David Ray Griffin's book [Cognitive Infiltration: An Obama Appointee's Plan to Undermine the 9/11 Conspiracy Theory](#).

Admiral: 'Destroy' photos of Osama bin Laden's corpse

2014-02-12, USA Today/Associated Press

<http://www.usatoday.com/story/news/world/2014/02/12/osama-bin-laden-photo-cor...>

Less than two weeks after the 2011 raid that killed Osama bin Laden, a top Pentagon official ordered all photos of bin Laden's corpse be destroyed or turned over to the CIA. In an e-mail dated May 13, 2011, Adm. William McRaven,

the U.S. Special Operations commander, wrote: "One particular item that I want to emphasize is photos; particularly UBLs remains. At this point â€" all photos should have been turned over to the CIA; if you still have them destroy them immediately or get them to the (redacted)." **Shortly after the raid in Pakistan, President Obama said he would not authorize the release of any images of the al-Qaeda leader's body.** Days before the order to destroy the photos, watchdog group Judicial Watch and the Associated Press had separately filed a Freedom of Information Act request for photos, videos and documents regarding bin Laden during the raid. Typically, when a Freedom of Information Act request is filed to a government agency under the Federal Records Act, the agency is obliged to preserve the material sought â€" even if the agency later denies the request.

Note: Why would a top military commander order all photos of bin Laden's dead body destroyed? Why would Obama prevent the release of any images of the body? For powerful evidence that the dead body was not, in fact, bin Laden's, [click here](#) and [here](#). For other solid evidence that the official story of 9/11 is riddles with holes, see our 9/11 Information Center [available here](#).

The 9/11 Hijackers: Fraud in Official Video Exhibits Uncovered by Expert Panel

2012-09-10, MarketWatch/Wall Street Journal

<http://www.marketwatch.com/story/the-911-hijackers-fraud-in-official-video-ex...>

A Panel of 22 researchers into the history of 9/11 has uncovered evidence of fraud in the photographic images of Muslim hijackers prior to boarding the planes on 9/11. Court exhibits state that leader Mohamed Atta took a commuter flight from Portland, Maine, to connect to AA Flight 11 out of Boston, which hit the North Tower. **The dubious images heighten the mystery of why Atta left Boston, where Flight 11 was to be hijacked, and risked the failure of his entire mission by driving to Portland September 10, staying overnight, and booking a tight connection back to Boston early September 11. The [9/11 Consensus] Panel's in-depth review shows the Portland story to be peppered with inconsistencies and revisions, placing the entire hijack theory in question.** Similarly, at Washington's Dulles International Airport, five hijackers allegedly passed through security before flying AA Flight 77, carrying CNN correspondent Barbara Olson, into the Pentagon. Yet no images were released from the 300+ security cameras at Dulles that morning, nor were Arabic men reported in FBI interviews of airport staff. The Panel has produced [28 Consensus Points](#) of "best evidence" regarding the official claims of 9/11 -- the trigger event for the Middle East wars of the last decade. Its investigations cover: explosives at the Twin Towers and Building WTC-7; the inadequate flying skills of the alleged Pentagon pilot; the missing debris from "Let's Roll" Flight 93; the [many] military drills coinciding on 9/11, and the allegedly absent political and military commanders.

Note: This article appears to have been removed from the MarketWatch website, though you can still read it using the [Internet Archive](#). Don't miss the [PBS special](#), "9/11 Explosive Evidence: Experts Speak Out", in which 40 whistle-blowing experts present evidence of controlled demolition at the World Trade Center. For lots more verifiable evidence suggesting a major cover-up around 9/11, [click here](#).

Architect Richard Gage Explains His 9/11 Theory

2011-04-19, WJBK Fox 2 (Detroit Affiliate of Fox News)

<http://www.myfoxdetroit.com/dpp/news/local/architect-richard-gage-explains-hi...>

It is a day of infamy â€" 9/11. The official investigation concluded that intense heat from the crash and the jet fuel melted the support beams causing the [WTC] towers to collapse. But architect Richard Gage doesn't believe that. He is the founder of [Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth](#). [Gage:] There is evidence to suggest that explosive demolitions have brought down all three World Trade Center skyscrapers. We have now 1,500 architects and engineers calling for a new investigation based on this evidence, including the third skyscraper that most people know nothing about. This is a 47-story skyscraper that collapses at free fall acceleration, straight down ... into its own footprint just like a controlled demolition. This is extraordinary evidence, along with the chemical evidence of high-tech nanothermite composite explosives or incendiaries found in the all the World Trade Center dust. **The architects and engineers are highlighting the specific evidence in these three skyscrapers, because its so clear that they're explosive demolitions. We have [found] in all of this massive quantity of dust ... iron microspheres the size of the diameter of a human hair.**

Billions of them ... are found. These contain the evidence of ignited thermite. There is no other explanation for them. What the 1,500 architects and engineers that I represent are calling for is an investigation that is thorough that uses the scientific method [and] analyzes all of the evidence. Once this evidence is all analyzed, we'll let the chips fall where they may. We don't have conspiracy theories. What we want is a real investigation.

Note: The text above is taken from the video news report at the Fox News link above. To watch the symmetrical fall of the third World Trade Center building, [click here](#). For lots more reliable, verifiable information questioning the 9/11 official story, see our [9/11 Information Center](#).

9/11 third tower mystery 'solved'

2008-07-04, BBC News

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/americas/7485331.stm>

The 47-storey third tower, known as Tower Seven, collapsed seven hours after the twin towers. Investigators are expected to say ordinary fires on several different floors caused the collapse. Conspiracy theorists have argued that the third tower was brought down in a controlled demolition. Unlike the twin towers, Tower Seven was not hit by a plane. The National Institute of Standards and Technology [NIST] ... is expected to conclude in its long-awaited report this month that ordinary fires caused the building to collapse. That would make it the first and only steel skyscraper in the world to collapse because of fire. [NIST's] lead investigator, Dr Shyam Sunder, spoke to BBC Two's "The Conspiracy Files": "Our working hypothesis now actually suggests that it was normal building fires that were growing and spreading throughout the multiple floors that may have caused the ultimate collapse of the buildings." However, a group of architects, engineers and scientists say the official explanation that fires caused the collapse is impossible. [Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth](#) argue there must have been a controlled demolition. The founder of the group, Richard Gage, says the collapse of the third tower is an obvious example of a controlled demolition using explosives. **"Building Seven is the smoking gun of 9/11. A sixth grader can look at this building falling at virtually freefall speed, symmetrically and smoothly, and see that it is not a natural process. Buildings that fall in natural processes fall to the path of least resistance", says Gage, "they don't go straight down through themselves."**

Note: To watch a one-minute clip of the fall of WTC 7 from a PBS documentary, [click here](#). For a two-page summary of some unanswered questions about what really happened on 9/11, [click here](#). To learn about over 2,000 architects and engineers who claim a major cover-up around 9/11 [click here](#).

Note: Read even [more major media news articles](#) revealing stunning information on a 9/11 cover-up. Then explore our [full index](#) to revealing excerpts of key major media news articles on several dozen engaging topics. And don't miss amazing excerpts from **20 of the [most revealing news articles](#) ever published.**

What you can do:

- Inform your media and political representatives of the vital information in these 9/11 news articles. To contact those close to you, [click here](#). Urge them also to join in calling for the release of secret documents and for a new, impartial investigation of 9/11.
- Explore the wealth of reliable, verifiable information on 9/11, including several excellent documentaries, in our 9/11 Information Center [available here](#).
- Learn more about 9/11 and the secret societies likely involved in [this powerful lesson](#) from the free [Insight Course](#).
- Spread this news to your friends and colleagues, and recommend this article on key news websites so that we can fill the role at which the major media is sadly failing. Together, we can make a difference.
- We need your support. Please help our work to grow and thrive by donating at [this link](#).



Finding Balance: WantToKnow.info Inspiration Center

WantToKnow.info believes it is important to balance disturbing cover-up information with inspirational writings which call us to be all that we can be and to work together for positive change. For an abundance of uplifting material, please visit our [Inspiration Center](#).

See our [exceptional archive](#) of revealing news articles.

Explore the mind and heart expanding websites managed by the nonprofit PEERS network:

www.peerservice.org - PEERS websites: Spreading inspiration, education, & empowerment

www.momentoflove.org - Every person in the world has a heart

www.personalgrowthcourses.net - Dynamic online courses powerfully expand your horizons

www.WantToKnow.info - Reliable, verifiable information on major cover-ups

www.weboflove.org - Strengthening the Web of Love that interconnects us all

[Subscribe/Unsubscribe/Change email address](#): The WantToKnow.info email list (two messages a week)

[Change email address / Leave mailing list](#)
Powered by YMLP